WE
Vol 6 No. 2
December 2025
e-ISSN: 2583-2298

THE PLANET'S THERAPY SESSION: WHY WE NEED TO BREAK UP WITH PLASTIC?

Aradhita Sinha*

In the age of environmental crises, plastic has quietly become one of the most pervasive issues, lurking in ecosystems, infiltrating human behaviour, and becoming nearly inseparable from daily life. While advertisements and popular culture have, over time, told us that plastic represents convenience and progress, growing evidence suggests our relationship with plastic is anything but healthy. Like a toxic partner, plastic has snuck its way into our lives, promising ease at the massive expense of comfort. As with all bad relationships, it is time to break up. This article synthesises perspectives from environmental and psychological studies to confront the nature of plastic's hold on society, offering insights on why, despite awareness, we remain inextricably linked to it.

Silent Spring exposed the dangers of pesticides, revealing how chemicals such as DDT affect the entire ecosystem and its biodiversity. Her message about the consequences of human innovation remains loud and clear today, as evidenced by the plastic pollution crisis. Just as pesticides infiltrated natural habitats with devastating effects, plastics have invaded nearly every layer of our environment. From microscopic particles drifting through the ocean to larger debris contaminating shorelines and landscapes, plastics affect both visible and invisible parts of ecosystems, causing widespread harm to marine life, birds, and terrestrial species. Like the pesticides Carson warned against, plastic pollution carries dire consequences. Once hailed for changing the face of manufacturing and consumer goods, its tenacity and longevity have become its signature characteristics. Unlike organic matter, plastic cannot fully decompose; instead, it breaks into tiny plastic fragments that remain in soil and water for centuries, eventually releasing toxic chemicals as they degrade. These toxins seep into the food chain, affecting wildlife and human health because they bioaccumulate in fish and animals that humans eat. Carson's legacy encourages us to view plastic as a similarly insidious threat that quietly accumulates and reaches nearly every organism on Earth. Addressing plastic pollution thus requires immediate attention and action, much like Carson advocated for stricter pesticide control. By drawing from Carson's vision of environmental accountability, society can recognise plastic's hidden impact and push for solutions that prioritise sustainability and ecological health, such as reducing plastic production and developing biodegradable alternatives. In Environmental Psychology Matters, Robert Gifford explores how psychological factors affect our behaviour toward the environment, particularly regarding issues such as plastic pollution. He explains a concept he calls "environmental numbness": repeated exposure to environmental problems habituates people, making them act less to change their situation. This is why people, aware of the impacts of plastics on the environment, still rely on disposable plastics. The prevalence of plastic makes it seem ubiquitous in daily usage, and convenience often supersedes thinking about harmful side effects. Gifford introduces the concept of cognitive dissonance in environmental psychology, where people learn that plastic is harmful yet continue to use it due to convenience. This creates an internal conflict between knowing what is evil and still doing it out of convenience. Another level of reinforcement of this dependence on plastic is cultural association, in which plastic is linked to modernity and efficiency. These associations often run above any feelings of environmental guilt or responsibility. Furthermore, Gifford suggests that plastic dependency can decrease only by changing social norms. Since society has been conditioned to accept plastic as convenient, efforts are necessary to shift the mindset through environmental campaigns and educational initiatives. These can change cultural narratives about plastic so that people start viewing it as an outdated material. In this way, psychology may serve as a tool to fight plastic pollution by helping people reevaluate the value of things and providing them with the choice to seek sustainable alternatives. This has been working psychologically, showing the psychological mechanisms that underpin the use of plastic, leading society, step by step, into a culture in which plastics considered disposable become out of fashion and hazardous.

*O.P. Jindal Global University, Sonipat, Haryana; Email: aradhitasinha14@gmail.com

Silently but gradually, in advertisements and songs, messages are embedded into the culture, idealising disposability and convenience. In the 1950s, Tupperware's marketing campaigns transformed the image of plastic by claiming that it would be a liberating force, an easy means of doing household chores. Plastic was positioned as a symbol of modernity and freedom from laborious lifestyles, which helped it become embedded in daily life. Today, the same tactics make single-use plastic water bottles the epitome of refreshing and easy, hardly ever suggesting there is any environmental cost. Media normalisation of plastic has cultivated a dependency that's hard to break; plastic is everywhere, celebrated and convenient, with its negative impacts often sidelined. Popular culture amplifies this normalisation by tying plastic to themes of materialism. Song examples of "Material Girl" by Madonna make disposable forms of consumption appealing; thus, plastic can represent high achievement and the availability of readiness. Such messages operate beneath the conscious mind, linking plastics to development, change, and even identity as progress; thus, the issues of plastic waste often become less important than usability.

Consequently, the convenience and accessibility of plastic products become ingrained in our collective consciousness, creating a "plastic dependency" that feels almost unshakeable, as Robert Gifford would suggest in *Environmental Psychology Matters*. This dependency is further entrenched by "environmental numbness," where constant exposure to plastic pollution numbs people's sense of urgency about the issue. Breaking free from this dependency requires an acknowledgement of this deep-seated psychological grip. The culture regarding plastic must change to discuss its environmental and health impacts openly, rather than celebrating it as the symbol of convenience and progress. Also, media and advertising campaigns promoting sustainable alternatives can further recondition these attitudes, leading to societal perceptions that value plastic replacement over convenience.

In *Why Do People Buy Organic Food?* Anushree Tandon explores how trust in product origins and perceived environmental benefits significantly influence consumers' decisions to choose organic options. This gives us an interesting paradox of consumer behaviour: people are more than willing to invest in organic food because of its environmental reputation and the roots they trust, yet they remain unwilling to embrace alternatives to plastic. Indeed, eco-friendly plastic substitutes are sometimes perceived as inconvenient or untested, highlighting a gap in our environmental consciousness and raising questions about why some sustainable choices gain momentum while others do not. Tandon's study will serve as a starting point to understand how product reliability trust can impact consumer buying behaviour. This gap between organic choices and plastic alternatives calls for an equally high level of familiarity and trust for eco-friendly materials in everyday products. Once these brands and policymakers take this route and establish credibility, making reusable and biodegradable options safe, convenient, and reliable, society may begin to view plastic alternatives as viable. Just as Rachel Carson's *Silent Spring* shifted attitudes toward pesticides by raising awareness of their environmental impacts, fostering public trust in plastic substitutes could prompt a similar shift away from plastic dependency. A marketing strategy could thus draw on many psychological insights, like those found in Robert Gifford's Environmental Psychology Matters, which clarifies that changing societal norms is vital to evolving environmental behaviour. Advertising campaigns can address "environmental numbness" by normalising alternatives, as plastic was once normalised in iconic campaigns like Tupperware. By integrating Tandon's findings on trust and consumer behaviour with Gifford's insights on environmental psychology, society can cultivate a new perspective on plastic, reframing it as an outdated material and positioning alternatives as trustworthy, sustainable solutions.

In From Transcendence to Obsolescence, Harold Fromm explores the human tendency to embrace technologies that ultimately become detrimental: a cycle of dependency that eventually leads to obsolescence. Plastic is its epitome: first widely hailed as a wonder technology, it was durable, versatile, and transformed industrial and household life. Yet today, plastic's durability is more a curse than a blessing, contributing to environmental degradation and posing threats to the ecosystem and human health. The irony of plastic's journey reflects the very hubris Fromm describes; our relentless pursuit of transcendence through technology often closes our eyes to the long-term consequences.

Plastic's transformation from innovation to environmental blight underscores Fromm's idea that humanity's technological dependencies can lead to ruin. In the same way, Rachel Carson's *Silent Spring* revealed the hidden damages of pesticides; the growing understanding of plastic's impact shows that its benefits were short-lived compared to the harm it inflicts. Microplastics now infiltrate food chains, soil, and oceans, while toxins released during degradation create new health risks for wildlife and humans. Despite these risks, society's ingrained attachment to plastic remains, much like the psychological concept of "environmental numbness" that Robert Gifford explores in *Environmental Psychology Matters*—desensitisation to the persistent environmental issues surrounding us.

Re-evaluating this attachment to plastic, as Fromm suggests, is essential. Society should change its thinking about plastic from an indispensable ingredient to something priceless from the past century. By employing trust-building in alternatives, as Anushree Tandon emphasises in her research on consumer behaviour and environmentalism, and in campaigns to reduce cognitive dissonance, society can move forward toward appropriate solutions. Ultimately, Fromm's analysis calls for a cultural reset: to recognise plastic not as a symbol of progress but as a relic of technological overreach that must be replaced for the health of our planet. The combined insights of Carson, Gifford, Tandon, and Fromm converge on a critical takeaway: society must "break up" with plastic to safeguard both environmental and human health. Like an exploitative relationship, plastic has come with hidden costs masked by convenience and short-lived benefits. Its durability and pervasiveness, initially celebrated, have now become sources of profound harm. As Rachel Carson revealed in *Silent Spring*, the unintended consequences of human innovation can disrupt ecosystems. Today, plastic stands as one of the most insidious threats, mirroring Carson's concerns with pollution, while Fromm's reflections on technology capture our need to overcome this dependency. Breaking free from plastic dependency calls for a unified effort. Robert Gifford's exploration of environmental psychology in *Environmental Psychology Matters* suggests that people often experience "environmental numbness," becoming desensitised to the issue through constant exposure. This numbness is compounded by cognitive dissonance—knowing plastic's harm yet continuing to rely on it out of convenience. However, Tandon's research on organic consumerism shows a pathway forward: if consumers trust plastic alternatives as they trust eco-friendly foods, plastic dependency may finally diminish. To shift from plastic, both individual and collective action are essential. People need to push for policies that reduce plastic production and have businesses provide alternative, eco-friendly, and biodegradable alternatives. Media campaigns hold immense power to rewrite what "convenience" means, such as green-showing people that sustainable choices are not just possible but necessary—moving from decades of conditioning to trying to marry plastic to modernity and convenience, and to changes in societal values regarding what they perceive as essential to sustain themselves. Cutting through our attachment to plastic might mean society could forge a healthier, more sustainable relationship with the environment and wean itself off what is exciting, but perhaps not for ten years. Freeing society from plastic may usher in an era that no longer binds people to convenience, which has cost the earth and human lives too dearly. Plastic addiction does not have to be curbed only through usage diminishment; it is better accomplished through values of consciousness concerning nature, where respect and living can occur. It is the type of change that rightly echoes Rachel Carson's rallying call to eliminate harmful chemicals that quietly destroy ecosystems; in today's scenario, it is plastic, silently seeping into oceans, soil, and even into ourselves. What is expected of us is not just removal but also repair of the already-implemented damage and prevention of further destruction. Breaking up with plastic symbolises the start of genuine environmental recovery. Harold Fromm's *From Transcendence to Obsolescence* provides insight into humanity's attachment to innovations that ultimately harm us, underscoring the importance of redefining progress to exclude environmentally detrimental practices. On the other hand, Robert Gifford's work in environmental psychology shows that overcoming "environmental numbness" and cognitive dissonance about plastic is quite tricky. Convenience is still the only meaning of plastic for most, and a change of mind is necessary to redefine what "convenience" really means in a green society.

As Anushree Tandon's work on consumer behaviour emphasises, building trust in sustainable alternatives can transform consumer choices. Society must support policies and campaigns that discourage plastic production and promote biodegradable, trusted alternatives as the new standard. Media can very powerfully reinforce such values, making sustainability look modern and desirable, redefining convenience as eco-friendly, and even reshaping societal norms around consumption. This decisive break for plastic will open the way to a new era of environmental stewardship: a human impact aligned with planetary health. It's about more than rejecting plastic; it's about care, respect, and the Earth's future well-being.

REFERENCES

Carson, R. (1962). *Silent Spring.* Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.

Fromm, H. (1992). *From Transcendence to Obsolescence: A Route Map. In The Ecocriticism Reader: Landmarks in Literary Ecology,* eds. Cheryll Glotfelty and Harold Fromm, University of Georgia Press.

Gifford, R. (2014). *Environmental psychology matters. Annual Review of Psychology,* 65(1), 541-579. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010213-115048

Tandon, A., Dhir, A., Kaur, P., Kushwah, S., & Salo, J. (2020). *Why do people buy organic food? The moderating role of environmental concerns and trust. Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services,* 54, 101998. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2019.101998